



## TNRD Addendum – Summary Report

### Introduction:

Part of the initial scope of the BDO Forensic Audit included the investigation of a number of allegations related to Company 01. Concerns have been raised about the amount of money spent at Company 01 as opposed to other suppliers. Allegations involved preferential business given to Company 01 due to a former TNRD employee's family relationship with a Company 01 employee as well as an allegation that TNRD IT staff provided IT services to Company 01 on one occasion.

BDO Canada LLP discovered they had a conflict of interest and therefore excluded these allegations from their scope. For this reason, and following their own internal protocols they were unable to investigate any allegations and questionable practices related to Company 01 and forwarded the information on to TNRD.

While this information cannot be included in the Forensic Audit Report by BDO Canada LLP, the TNRD is highlighting the allegations and information with respect to Company 01 in this separate summary report.

### Disclaimer:

The purpose of this addendum is not to draw any conclusions, but only to provide the allegations and information with respect to Company 01. The TNRD is not in a position to make findings of fact as a forensic audit would. This information is only intended to present and highlight the concerns in the interest of accountability and transparency and maintaining the TNRD's commitment to looking into all allegations brought forward.

### Allegations and Information:

- TNRD IT department was directed to do work for Company 01 on multiple occasions to deal with IT related issues. A former employee's relative worked for this private business. TNRD resources were used to help this private business.
- TNRD purchased a number of goods from Company 01 while the former employee's relative worked there. The relative's name is on invoices as the clerk who processed the invoice for TNRD. The TNRD has paperwork that shows the signature of the former employee on one of the invoices. Signing off on the purchase is a clear example of conflict of interest and should not have been permitted.
- It is our understanding that the former employee directed staff to use this business for these types of purchases.